


In re: Karl J. Molnar  
Serial No.: 09/464,830  
Filed: December 17, 1999  
Page 10 of 10

### REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the continued thorough examination of the present application that is reflected in the Final Official Action of July 26, 2005 and the Advisory Action of September 30, 2005. Applicant also appreciates the indication that Claims 1, 4-19, and 22-28 are allowed, and that Claims 34-36 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. In response, Applicant has canceled Claims 29-33, and has rewritten Claims 34-36 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

More particularly, in response to the Advisory Action, Claim 34 has been amended to include the recitations of Claims 29 and 31, including the recitation that the interfering signal synchronization sequence finder "is responsive to one of the received signal and the estimate of the residual signal if an estimate of a carrier-to-interference-and-noise ratio of the received signal exceeds a first threshold". Also, Claim 35 has been amended to include the recitations of Claims 29 and 32, and Claim 36 has been amended to include the recitations of Claims 29 and 33. No new matter has been added, and no new issues have been raised. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 1, 4-19, 22-28, and 34-36 are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending claims and passing the present application to issue.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: October 5, 2005

Rohan G. Sabapathypillai  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 51,074